

CANNON BUILDING 861 SILVER LAKE BLVD., SUITE 203 DOVER, DELAWARE 19904-2467

STATE OF DELAWARE **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION

TELEPHONE: (302) 744-4500 FAX: (302) 739-2711

WEBSITE: WWW.DPR.DELAWARE.GOV

PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE: BOARD OF DENTISTRY & DENTAL HYGIENE

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, May 26, 2011 at 4:30 p.m.

PLACE: 861 Silver Lake Boulevard, Dover, Delaware

Conference Room B, second floor of the Cannon Building

APPROVED: June 16, 2011

MEMBERS PRESENT

Neil McAneny, DDS, Professional Member, President
Blair Jones, DMD, Professional Member, Secretary
Thomas Cox, DDS, Professional Member
John Lenz, DDS, Professional Member
Robert Director, DDS, Professional Member
Joan Madden, RDH, Professional Hygiene Member
Nathaniel Gibbs, Public Member
Frances Pruitt, Public Member
Cheryl Calicott-Trawick, Public Member (entered meeting at 4:38 p.m.)
Bonnie Thomas, RDH, Hygiene Advisory Member
Debra Bruhl, RDH, Hygiene Advisory Member
Fay S. Rust, RDH, Hygiene Advisory Member (entered meeting at 4:41 p.m.)

MEMBERS ABSENT

None

DIVISION STAFF/DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT

Allison Reardon, Deputy Attorney General Michele Howard, Administrative Specialist II

PUBLIC PRESENT

Libby Garey Deirdre McCutcheon Mary Trinkle

CALL TO ORDER

Dr. McAneny called the meeting to order at 4:34 p.m.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Board reviewed the minutes of the March 24, 2011 Board meeting. Mr. Gibbs made a motion, seconded by Dr. Director, to approve the minutes as presented. The motion passed unanimously.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Validation of Dental and Dental Hygiene Clinical Exams – Review Cost Estimates

Dr. McAneny reported that Temple University and the University of Pennsylvania submitted written cost estimates to the Board for revalidation of both the dental and dental hygiene clinical exams. An estimate from the University of Maryland was requested, but none received. The cost estimate from Temple was \$2,000 for revalidation of both exams. The cost estimate from UP was \$4,000 for revalidation of both exams. Dr. Jones made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lenz, to approve Temple University Kornberg School of Dentistry to revalidate both the dental and dental hygiene clinical exams. The motion was unanimously carried. Ms. Howard will submit the cost estimate to DPR Director Collins for final approval.

Dr. McAneny asked new Public Member Cheryl Calicott-Trawick to introduce herself to the Board. Ms. Calicott-Trawick introduced herself and the Board welcomed her.

Website Updates – Report from Ms. Howard

Teeth Whitening Services at Spas and Mall Kiosks

CODA-Approved Specialty Rotations

Ms. Howard reported that website change requests had been submitted in March to update the Board's site with its statement regarding teeth whitening services at spas and mall kiosks and its new rules governing CODA-approved specialty rotations. Ms. Howard reported that DPR is working out the "kinks" of a new web update service, and the changes will be posted to the website as soon as possible.

Inactive License Status in Other States – Report from Ms. Rust

Ms. Rust reported that she had forwarded her research regarding inactive license status in other states to the Board Members for their review. Dr. McAneny asked Ms. Rust to summarize her findings for the Board. Dr. Director asked if an inactive license status was being considered in order to accommodate one or two people, or if there was a significant need for an inactive license status. Ms. Rust recommends an inactive status, particularly since Delaware has a military base. After further discussion, Dr. McAneny made a motion, seconded by Dr. Director, not to pursue an inactive license status. Dr. Director commended Ms. Rust on all of her hard work. During the discussion on the motion, Ms. Rust reiterated that she believes DE should reciprocate with the surrounding states that offer an inactive status. Ms. Reardon advised that adding an inactive status would require a statute change. The motion passed by a majority vote, with Ms. Rust opposed.

<u>Pre-renewal Audit vs. Post-renewal Audit – Review Draft of Proposed Language from Ms. Reardon</u> <u>Requirement of 2.0 CE Hours in Infection Control per Biennial Renewal Period – Review Draft of Proposed</u> <u>Language from Ms. Reardon</u>

Ms. Reardon distributed a draft of amendments to the Board's Rules and Regulations regarding Continuing Professional Education and Post-Renewal Audits as requested by the Board at a previous Board meeting. Ms. Reardon summarized the changes for the Board and explained the difference between pre-renewal audits and post-renewal audits. Dr. Director made a motion, seconded by Ms. Madden, to accept the draft language for post-renewal audits. The motion was unanimously carried. Ms. Reardon advised that the next step is to publish the proposed changes in the Register of Regulations and to hold a public hearing, which may be scheduled for the September Board meeting. Dr. McAneny made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lenz, to accept the draft language including a 2.0 Hour CE requirement for infection control. Dr. Lenz and Dr. McAneny commended Ms. Rust for her recommendation of adding a CE requirement for infection control. The motion was unanimously carried.

<u>Exam Calibration Exercise at Dr. Jones' office – Report from Dr. McAneny</u>

Dr. McAneny reported that the following members of the Exam Committee met on May 20, 2011 at the office of Dr. Jones for an exam calibration exercise and to discuss various topics of the dental and dental hygiene clinical exams: Dr. McAneny, Dr. Jones, Dr. Cox, Dr. Lenz, Dr. Director, Ms. Rust and Ms. Bruhl. Dr. McAneny read aloud a report summarizing the exercise. Dr. McAneny reported that all of the

attendees agreed that it was a very beneficial exercise and recommended that the exercise be repeated annually, or prior to any new Board member participating in the exam. The Board thanked Dr. Jones for allowing the Committee to use his office for the exercise. The Board will continue the discussion further in Executive Session during the Exam Committee meeting.

Administrative Assistance During Exams (Auxiliary Dental Personnel) – Report from Ms. Reardon

The Board previously requested that Ms. Reardon research the issue of whether the Board could use staff from their offices or other non-board members to assist with the exam. Ms. Reardon concluded that only the Board members and Division personnel could participate in conducting the exam because persons not associated with the Board or the Division would not have the same protections and immunity afforded the Board and the Division if someone was to make a claim in regard to the exam. Ms. Reardon further advised that the Board's proposal to have the outside individuals sign confidentiality agreements would not work because confidentiality agreements may be breached, and there would be problems with the enforceability of any such agreement. Ms. Reardon advised that DPR Director Collins has stated in previous meetings that he will work to accommodate the need for DPR staff to assist with the exams, to the extent that assistance is needed. Ideally, the administrative exam assistance should come from public members.

<u>Vicki Korr-Pringle: Sign Board Order</u>

Vicki Korr-Pringle: Review CE Submission for 2008-2010 Licensure Period

Continuing Education Request (Greeley & Nista Orthodontics) – Report from Ms. Howard

Ms. Howard circulated the Board Order for Vicki Korr-Pringle for signatures of the Board members who were present at the March 2011 hearing. Ms. Howard stated that Ms. Korr-Pringle had been given until June 16th to submit proof of completion of the CE and CPR requirements, but that she had already submitted her certificates of completion for the Board's review. Ms. Howard noted that Ms. Korr-Pringle was requesting 2.0 CE hours for a seminar given by Greeley & Nista Orthodontics, which had not been accredited by any local, regional or national association or organization. Ms. Howard summarized her conversations with Greeley & Nista Orthodontics, as well as the Delaware Dental Hygiene Association regarding the seminar. Dr. McAneny stated that the Board is not an accrediting agency and the course must be approved by another organization, such as the ADA, AGD or PACE. Ms. Reardon read aloud the Board's Rules and Regulations regarding continuing education and advised that Ms. Korr-Pringle will be 2.0 CE hours deficient on her audit if the Board votes not to accept the course. Ms. Howard stated that Greeley & Nista Orthodontics had given certificates of completion to the seminar attendees noting 2.0 CE hours granted by Greeley & Nista Orthodontics, even though the seminar was not accredited by any organization. Ms. Reardon asked if the seminar had been approved by the DDHA at the time that Ms. Korr-Pringle attended the seminar. Ms. Howard stated that the seminar had not received DDHA approval for 2011. Dr. McAneny stated that the certificate of completion should state that the seminar has been certified by an accrediting organization. Ms. Madden stated that a lot of hygienists attended the Greeley & Nista seminar and received the certificate of completion that was distributed there. Dr. McAneny stated that he had been contacted regarding the seminar and advised that the Board is not an accrediting agency and does not certify courses for continuing education. Dr. McAneny added that to his knowledge, the Delaware State Dental Society does not certify courses for continuing education credit either. Dr. Director made a motion, seconded by Ms. Rust, to approve 22.0 CE hours of Ms. Korr-Pringle's audit submission. Ms. Korr-Pringle will have until June 16, 2011 in order to submit proof of an additional 2.0 CE hours. The Board office will send a letter to Ms. Korr-Pringle. The motion was unanimously carried. Ms. Madden then asked if the Board or the DDHA had any obligation to notify the attendees of the Greeley & Nista seminar, advising them that the course would not be accepted for CE credit. Dr. McAneny and Ms. Rust stated that Greeley & Nista Orthodontics had been advised in advance of the seminar that it needed to be certified by an accrediting agency, and that they should have notified attendees at the seminar that it would not earn them any CE credit. Ms. Madden made a motion, seconded by Dr. Director, that the Board send a letter to Greeley & Nista Orthodontics advising them that it is their obligation to notify the seminar attendees that the course would not be accepted by the Board for CE credit until such time that the seminar is accredited under the Board's Rule 6.9. Ms.

Calicott-Trawick asked that the letter be copied to the DDHA for their information. Ms. Madden amended her motion to include that a copy of the letter to Greeley & Nista be mailed to the DDHA. Ms. Thomas read aloud the Board's Rule 6.9.4.1.8. Ms. Reardon advised that the Board had not approved Greeley & Nista Orthodontics as an organization or association approved for sponsoring continuing education. Ms. Pruitt seconded Ms. Madden's amended motion, and the motion was unanimously approved.

NEW BUSINESS

<u>Ratification of Licenses/Permits Issued by DPR Since March Board Meeting:</u>

Dentist License – Jessica DiCerbo

Dr. Jones made a motion, seconded by Ms. Pruitt, to ratify the issuance of a Dentist license to Jessica DiCerbo. The motion passed unanimously.

<u>Dental Hygienist License – Penelope Callahan</u>

Dr. Lenz made a motion, seconded by Ms. Rust, to ratify the issuance of a Dental Hygiene license to Penelope Callahan. The motion passed unanimously.

Restricted Permit I

None

Restricted Permit II

None

Unrestricted Permit

None

Statute Change – Request by Dr. Director

Dr. Director reviewed his email sent to the Board members proposing that DPR submit a bill that would allow the Board to change the date of the dental and dental hygiene clinical exams to be able to offer the exams before graduation. Dr. Director proposed that both the dental and dental hygiene clinical exams be offered 60 days prior to graduation, in order to allow candidates, particularly hygienists, the opportunity to get licensed and start work earlier. Dr. Director cited Rule 10.3. Ms. Reardon advised that a regulation cannot change the authority provided by statute. The statute currently says that candidates cannot test until after they have graduated. Ms. Reardon advised that the Joint Sunset Committee (JSC) had met earlier in the week, and that due to short notice, no one from the Board was able to attend the JSC hearing. Ms. Reardon reported that the Joint Sunset Committee voted not to release the Board of Dentistry and Dental Hygiene from review. Ms. Reardon advised that the Board is still under the JSC's purview, and to the extent the Board wants to propose legislation, it must be vetted through the Joint Sunset Committee. If the Board attempts to gain sponsorship without running the bill through the JSC, it may appear that the Board is not in compliance with the Sunset Committee's desire to have the Board continue to work with the hygienists on the issue of the examination. Ms. Reardon clarified for Dr. Director that had the Board been released from Sunset Review, the Board could propose legislation and request that DPR Director Collins assist with finding a sponsor. However, since the Board was not released from Sunset Review, Ms. Reardon recommends that any proposed legislative changes be presented to the JSC for its sponsorship. Ms. Reardon added that proposed legislation may still be submitted to the DPR Director for submission to the JSC on the Board's behalf. Dr. McAneny asked if anyone from DPR attended the JSC hearing. Ms. Reardon responded that in addition to herself, Ms. Howard, Director Collins, and Deputy Director Warren attended the JSC hearing. Dr. McAneny stated that in addition to allowing candidates to get licensed and start work earlier, there had been discussions about the number of candidates testing at one time. Ms. Rust asked Dr. McAneny who he was referring to when he said "we". Dr. McAneny responded that he and Dr. Lenz had initially approached DelTech to determine if they had any objection to offering the clinical exams 30-60 days

prior to graduation, and added that if DelTech had any objection to the Board's proposal, then the issue would be dropped. Dr. McAneny responded that "we" referred to himself, Dr. Lenz and Dr. Director. Dr. McAneny stated that in the discussions with DelTech, he and Dr. Lenz suggested that if a candidate failed the exam prior to graduation, then they could retake the exam after graduation. Ms. Rust asked for clarification of how many times Dr. McAneny is proposing that the exams be given in one year. After discussion, Dr. McAneny proposed that the exams be given in January, April and June. Ms. Thomas asked what the odds were of a candidate passing the exam, and then not graduating. Dr. McAneny responded that if a candidate does not graduate, then he/she does not get licensed. Ms. Thomas shared her personal licensing experience with the Board. Ms. Rust stated that not all exam candidates are students at DelTech, and asked when out-of-state candidates would be allowed to test. Dr. McAneny responded that if the exam is given in April, then all candidates could test in April. Ms. Rust stated that in order to take the Northeast Regional Board (NERB), a candidate must have graduated. Dr. McAneny disagreed and stated that candidates are allowed to take the NERB prior to graduation. Ms. Pruitt stated that she works with the dental program at Dover Air Force Base, and she believes it would be a struggle for the hygienists to take the state exam prior to graduation "with all they have on their plate" during that time. Dr. McAneny stated that he asked the clinical director at DelTech if moving the exam date prior to graduation would pose a problem for the students. Dr. McAneny reported that the opinion of DelTech's clinical director was that moving the exam date would not pose a problem for the candidates. Ms. Madden stated that the exam is currently given in early June and the scores are reported at the Board meeting two weeks later; she does not believe that two weeks is a long wait to begin working. Dr. McAneny stated that moving the exam to April would allow hygienists more time to look for a job and make tentative employment agreements based on confirmation that they had already passed the exam. Ms. Calicott-Trawick stated that adding an April exam date could be considered a "trial run" for candidates who fail the exam in April and retake the exam in June. Ms. Reardon stated that she had no doubt that Dr. McAneny's recollection of the conversations with DelTech was what he had represented at the meeting; however, she advised that there had been a conflicting statement regarding DelTech's position. Ms. Reardon stated that it is her understanding that DelTech is not in agreement with offering the exam in April because their facility is not available at that time, since school is still in session. Ms. Reardon recommended that the Board resolve the issue to determine if DelTech is truly in favor of an exam prior to graduation. Ms. Reardon further advised that if the exam is given on a date prior to graduation, then the exam must be offered on that date to any candidate from any school in any state. Dr. Lenz stated that he spoke with Dr. Frances Leach last year who informed him that most of the students stay and work in Delaware, but that almost all of the students take the NERB. Dr. Lenz believes the students take the NERB as a backup in case they do not pass the Delaware state exam. Dr. Lenz offered his opinion that if the candidates pass the Delaware state exam in April, then they may choose not to take the NERB in May which is much more expensive, since the majority of them will stay and work in Delaware. Dr. Director stated that, although he did not want to add it to the proposed legislation, if a hygiene candidate failed the April exam, then they could retake the exam in June during the dental periodontal exam if space were available. Dr. Director asked for clarification of whether or not DelTech was in agreement with an exam date prior to graduation. Dr. McAneny said that he had read a letter from DelTech and it "didn't exactly say that". Ms. Reardon clarified that she has not read the letter that Dr. McAneny referenced, nor has she spoken with anyone from DelTech. However, her understanding is that there is a conflict of opinion about whether or not DelTech is in favor of the change that needs to be resolved. Ms. Madden asked who DelTech sent its letter to. Dr. McAneny responded that the letter had been sent to members of the Joint Sunset Committee. Ms. Thomas pointed out that the Board has license renewals and audits in April and May every other year, and asked if the Board wanted to be administering the exam during that same time. Dr. McAneny stated that changing from pre-renewal audits to post-renewal audits may alleviate some of the Board's work during that time. Ms. Reardon advised that the limitation of offering the exam twice a year appears to be a Board policy, as she could not find it in the law or regulations. Dr. Director made a motion, seconded by Dr. Lenz, to proceed with attempting to change the code to allow examinations for dentistry and dental hygiene to take place within 60 days prior to graduation for students certified in good standing who provided a letter of good standing from their dental or dental

hygiene school or program. The motion carried by a majority vote with Dr. Jones, Dr. McAneny, Dr. Director, Dr. Lenz, Dr. Cox, and Mr. Gibbs voting in favor of the motion; Ms. Madden, Ms. Pruitt, Ms. Rust, Ms. Thomas, and Ms. Bruhl were opposed; Ms. Calicott-Trawick abstained from the vote. Ms. Reardon asked the Board for some direction as to how they wish to proceed with the proposed legislation. Dr. McAneny stated that it was 'impossible' for either he or Dr. Director to attend the JSC hearing with only 24 hours' notice, and that it would have been unprofessional to cancel patients. Ms. Reardon stated that she believes the reason the Board was held over at the Sunset hearing had less to do with the fact that no one from the Board was in attendance, and more to do with the perception of the JSC that two of its recommendations had not been addressed to the Committee's satisfaction; in particular, the JSC mentioned meetings that had been cancelled. Dr. McAneny stated that he is writing a letter to the Joint Sunset Committee 'expressing his feelings'. Ms. Reardon advised Dr. McAneny to write the letter in his capacity as an individual dentist and not on behalf of the Board unless the Board voted to have him send a letter. Dr. McAneny agreed that the letter would be sent in his individual capacity. Dr. McAneny asked if Ms. Reardon believed that the JSC did not release the Board because a Board representative did not attend the hearing. Ms. Reardon stated that the she believes the JSC held the Board over because the Board failed to satisfactorily address two of its recommendations, specifically failing to meet on the issue of anesthesia and the JSC's recommendation to meet with the hygienists regarding the hygiene examination. Ms. Reardon advised that she believes there is a transcript available of the JSC hearing and their minutes would be posted for the public if the Board members would like to read the transcript for themselves. Dr. Lenz asked Ms. Reardon if the JSC expected the dentists and hygienists to cancel their patients for a meeting to be held the next day. Ms. Reardon stated that she did not believe the JSC expected the dentists to cancel their patients and did not know when the agenda for the meeting had been posted; attendance at the meeting was requested but not required. Dr. Director confirmed that he will be the point-person as the Board's Legislative Chairman. Ms. Reardon advised that the Board will need to prepare a memorandum of support for the bill and she can provide a sample form that Dr. Director can complete and forward, along with the bill, to Director Collins in order to submit it to the JSC on behalf of the Board. Ms. Thomas recommended that the Board focus on the two recommendations of the JSC, rather than rush a proposal for new legislation to change the exam dates. Ms. Thomas stated that this is the first time that she is aware of that the Board has discussed changing the exam date. Ms. Thomas added that she senses tension about changing the exam date and believes that the issue warrants further discussion since the vote was so close. Dr. Director stated that the JSC has the right to reject the bill if they do not support the change. Dr. Director added that he is willing to discuss the differences in the NERB and the Delaware state exam, which was one of the JSC's recommendations, but the timing has not 'worked out' for a meeting. Dr. Director advised that members of the dental community have different opinions than members of the dental hygiene community, and that he does not feel the need to address those opinions as a Board member, but would rather the Delaware State Dental Society and the Delaware Dental Hygiene Association address those differences. Ms. Rust stated that three dentists from the Board visited Boston to review the NERB, but none of the hygienists were invited to attend. If the hygienist members had known the dentists were going to Boston to observe the NERB they would have 'jumped on board' and gone to Boston with them. Dr. McAneny stated that the hygienists were not invited because he and Dr. Director were invited at a PAC meeting in Washington; the invitation was for Drs. McAneny and Director only. Dr. McAneny added that Dr. Lenz expressed a desire to attend the NERB, so Dr. McAneny called the secretary of NERB and asked if Dr. Lenz could also attend. NERB's secretary advised that her boss approved no more than three board members to attend. Dr. Director stated that each dentist paid his own expenses for the trip. Ms. Madden stated that it would have been 'significant' if a hygienist from the Board could have attended. Dr. McAneny stated that there was no reason why the hygienists couldn't attend the NERB on their own, but for the specific exam that the dentists attended, NERB didn't want any more than three members there. Dr. McAneny and Dr. Director agreed that the report that the dentists gave to the rest of the Board gave a good representation of their experience. Dr. McAneny recommended that questions regarding the dentists' observation of the NERB be asked in Executive Session during the Exam Committee Meeting.

Ms. Reardon advised that she was concerned about the vote in view of the abstention and did some quick research. Ms. Reardon stated that a majority of the quorum is needed to carry the motion under the Board's statute. Ms. Reardon noted there were twelve (12) members present, therefore the motion needed seven (7) affirmative votes to carry. Ms. Reardon gave her legal advice that the previous motion to support a bill to change the law failed for lack of a majority of the quorum.

DE Institute of Dental Education & Research (DIDER) – Appoint/Reappoint Board Member

Dr. McAneny made a motion, seconded by Dr. Jones, to recommend Dr. Lenz for reappointment as the Board's representative of DIDER. The motion was unanimously carried.

COMPLAINT STATUS

Dr. McAneny reported the following complaint statuses:

Complaint 09-10-09 (Jones) Sign Letter of Reprimand for Elizabeth Fortner

Complaint 09-01-10 (McAneny)

Closed by Investigator

Complaint 09-03-11

Complaint 09-04-11

Complaint 09-05-11

Complaint 09-06-11

Complaint 09-07-11

Complaint 09-07-11

Complaint 09-08-11

Closed by Investigator

Assigned to Dr. Jones

Ms. Howard explained the complaint assignment process to Dr. Jones.

Ms. Calicott-Trawick excused herself from the meeting at 6:32, and reentered at 6:38.

CORRESPONDENCE

Memo from ADA 3/30/11 RE: Acceptance of Continuing Medical Education Courses for Continuing Dental Education Requirements

Dr. McAneny summarized the request from the ADA regarding the acceptance of continuing medical education courses for continuing dental education requirements. Dr. McAneny stated that he would only agree to accept continuing medical education courses if the content was dentally relevant. Dr. Lenz pointed out that the Board accepts courses that have CERP, AGD and ADA approval. Ms. Reardon advised that if the ADA accepts a course, then the Board should accept the course. Ms. Reardon added that as an accrediting organization the ADA should not be approving any courses that are not related to dental practice. Dr. McAneny stated that the memo from the ADA does not specifically state that AMA courses approved by the ADA are dentally relevant. Ms. Reardon advised that the AMA is one of the types of sponsoring organizations that the Board accepts for course accreditation. Ms. Reardon recommended that the Board review courses approved by the American Medical Association (AMA) to make two determinations: one, if it's ADA approved, and two, if it's dentally relevant. Dr. McAneny agreed that the Board would review AMA courses on a case-by-case basis. After discussion, Dr. McAneny made a motion, seconded by Dr. Jones, to accept the AMA approved medical education courses for continuing education requirements. Ms. Reardon asked for clarification of the motion. After further discussion, Dr. McAneny withdrew the motion and agreed that the Board would consider AMA approved courses on a case-by-case basis.

Packet from DANB 4/26/11 RE: Certified Preventive Dental Assistants (CPDA)

Ms. Howard will email the DANB packet to the Board members for review and/or discussion at the September 2011 meeting.

OTHER BUSINESS BEFORE THE BOARD (for discussion only)

Confirm Exam Attendance of Public Members (6/3, 6/9, 6/10)

Ms. Pruitt advised that she cannot attend the exams. Ms. Calicott-Trawick cannot attend June 9^{th} or 10^{th} , but is available all day on June 3^{rd} . The public members agreed to provide administrative assistance with the exam on the following schedule:

 June 3rd:
 June 9th:
 June 10th:

 7:00 a.m. Ms. Calicott-Trawick
 7:00 a.m. Mr. Gibbs
 7:00 a.m. Mr. Gibbs

 10:00 a.m. Mr. Gibbs
 12:30 p.m. Mr. Gibbs
 9:30 a.m. Mr. Gibbs

12:30 p.m. Ms. Calicott-Trawick

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

NEXT MEETING

The next Board meeting will be Thursday, June 16, 2011, at **3:00 p.m**. in **the first floor hearing room** of the Cannon Building.

ADJOURNMENT

Dr. Lenz made a motion, seconded by Ms. Madden, to adjourn the meeting at 6:50 p.m. The motion to adjourn passed unanimously.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michele Howard

Administrative Specialist II